

# Adventures in SDWAN Customer Prem Deployments

Brian Knight, Sr Network Engineer

Nitel

## Who we are - Nitel

- U.S.-based wireline ISP
  - Internet, MPLS, Layer 2 Ethernet
  - Focused on business customers
  - 7 POPs around the country
  - Managed hardware – routers, VoIP gateways, firewalls, and now SDWAN

## What is SDWAN to us?

- Easy MPLS VPN replacement
- Ability to steer and load-balance customer traffic across multiple circuits
- Provide security services on-box – L4 firewall / NG firewall / UTM
- Multi-tenant portal
- Do all of this on commodity x86 hardware

## What did SDWAN become?

- We found customers also wanted Internet load-balancing without the hassle of getting a /24 + ASN + BGP from carriers
- The ability to load-balance traffic flows became less important

## 2 Vendor solutions

- Vendor Q
  - Newer company, newer offering
  - Wrote their own software stack
  - Provides the multi-tenant web portal
  - Centralized analytics on detail logs (firewall / NAT logs) and aggregate logs (Netflow-like data)
- A core stack of servers resides on the Nitel network
  - Management console
  - Virtualized route reflector
  - Log gathering / log database

## 2 Vendor solutions

- Vendor Z
  - Older, more established company
  - Adapted a firewall product to do SDWAN
  - Centralized configuration
  - But logs are kept on the firewall at the premises
- A single management console server is required
- Report generation servers can be added
  - These servers reach out to the CPE firewalls, gather their logs, and generate reports
  - No logs are stored on these systems

## SDWAN Go-Live

- Went live June 2017 with Vendor Q
- Vendor Z go-live Feb 2018
- Over 250 units shipped to ~35 customers (Sept 2018)

# Challenges - 1

- Sourcing white label hardware for Vendor Q can be difficult
  - Hardware is not stocked, so manufacturers must do production runs
  - lead times ~12wks
  - Vendor Q is helping to reduce these intervals
- Software-defined instability
  - Vendors are in a rush to capitalize on the market
  - So code quality can be a struggle, especially for newer players
- Learning curve for newer vendors can be higher



## Challenges - 2

- Customer readiness
  - Integrating our stuff with existing customer LAN networks gets much more difficult the further up the stack one goes
    - Routing – relatively easy
    - L4 Firewall – harder, must know about customer policies
    - NG Firewall – even harder, must know about customer policies + IDS/IPS settings + AV + ...
    - SDWAN – must know about all of above + how customer wants to route traffic

## Challenges - 3

- Customer readiness
  - Integrating third party MPLS was unexpectedly difficult
    - Vendor Q requires reachability to the core stack for operation
    - Leads to failure modes that are difficult to describe
  - Third party MSPs tend to increase this difficulty - but not always
- Metered Internet connections have been a challenge
  - Overages occur on account of ping probes among different nodes
  - Hub & Spoke topology was required for these customers

Questions?

Thank you