SOME BORING NETWORK ENGINEERING INTERVIEW QUESTIONS AND HOW TO REPLACE THEM WITH SMARTER CHOICES



KAM AGAHIAN

DIRECTOR, NETWORK INFRASTRUCTURE- UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (USC)

CCIE#25341 X2

Ĝ

NE INTERVIEWS

- We will cover
 - Fundamentals of network engineering interview topics
 - The overlooked criteria
 - Examples of what you don't want to ask/be-asked
 - Smarter choices and how they help?

THE PILLARS OF BEING A TRADITIONAL NE

- TCP/UDP/IP
- IGP
- BGP

• Other areas of expertise: MPLS – Data Center – Automation

• Voice, Security, Systems (e.g. Linux) – a little bit untraditional

(THE) GREAT EXPECTATIONS

• TCP/UDP/IP

- TCP, UDP and IP headers and how they're used?
- TCP session establishment and ending process
- TCP congestion control mechanism and slow start process
- TCP/UDP advantages and disadvantages
- Perhaps some higher layer troubleshooting tools such as trace route

(THE) GREAT EXPECTATIONS

IGP of choice

- OSPF
 - OSPF theories and messaging model
 - Single and multi area designs and challenges
 - LSA types (perhaps v4 vs v6), interface types, timers
 - Filtering, summarization and optimization
- ISIS
 - ISIS theories and messaging model
 - Single and multi area designs and challenges
 - LSP types, reasons behind TLV types and metric challenges
 - Single and multi topology and IPv6 interactions
 - Filtering, summarization and optimization

(THE) GREAT EXPECTATIONS

- BGP Sometimes depends on the line of business
 - BGP
 - Why BGP?
 - BGP theories including the state machine and messaging
 - BGP attributes and how they help in various scenarios such as traffic manipulation
 - Summarization, filtering and optimization (e.g. "newer" methods such as PIC, 4B ASN)
 - Global BGP and its challenges
 - BGP in enterprises and its challenges
 - BGP in data center designs and its challenges
 - BGP in carriers and its challenges



- Were traditionally designed to measure candidates' technical depth
 - Barely covers only one aspect of being a successful NE
- Inception of the boring questions...

WHAT WE TEND TO OVERLOOK

Room for proper <u>leveling</u>

- To have flexibility to hire at a lower or higher levels
- To make sure the candidate is at the level you are hiring
- To be able to compare two good candidates

WHAT WE TEND TO OVERLOOK

- Room for candidates' creativity
 - To give room to candidates to imagine, design and build
 - To gauge candidates' willingness and passion to even engage in discussions
 - To measure candidates' thought process beyond cold hard technical solutions





Ready to explore some of the topics?



• What is the difference between TCP and UDP?

Ē



• What are the differences between TCP and UDP?

• Intention: Mostly whether the candidate is familiar with reliability at layer 4.

TCP/UDP/IP

• What are the differences between TCP and UDP?

• Major issues:

- Interviewers tend to break off the chase after hearing the word "reliable" or "ACK" or "Handshake"
- Can be superficially memorized without deep understanding
- No room for creativity or leveling (i.e. it's flat)

TCP/UDP/IP - THE PLANE SCENARIO

- Let's design a <u>fictious</u> aviation tracking system
 - We want our fleet of planes to report their locations and other vital parameters once every 5 minutes. Let's say we had a choice to use TCP or UDP which one would you pick and why?

TCP/UDP/IP - THE PLANE SCENARIO

• The plane scenario

- The candidate needs to:
 - To understand stakes, risks and definition of success
 - To evaluate options: Reliability vs Simplicity
 - To articulate reasoning
 - To defend ideas (bonus point: soft skills)
 - Perhaps expose various hidden layers in their design (e.g. cost, other options etc.)



IGP



What are the differences between OSPF and ISIS? Or Which one do you like better?!
Intention: Whether the candidate has <u>any</u> experience with both protocols – maybe?

IGP

• What are the differences between OSPF and ISIS?

• Major issues:

- The two protocols very few similarities!
 - Messaging, leveling, timers, applications, traffic engineering, design considerations and many more are all different. But they're both Link State!
- Can be superficially memorized without deep understanding
- No room for creativity or leveling (i.e. it's flat)



• Hidden risk:

- Biased interviewing
 - The candidate can name 10 differences without hitting THE difference that the interviewer has in mind. They are all correct but still not the correct answer.
 - Then follows a series of hints which later during the debrief will be perceived and described as "hand holding".



IGP – THE EXPERIMENTAL ROUTING SCENARIO

- Let's be creative for a bit and design a <u>fictious</u> routing protocol
 - We have limited time and resources available and need to create a link state protocol to support an experimental type of IP. Would start you work based off OSPF or ISIS and why?

IGP - THE EXPERIMENTAL ROUTING SCENARIO

The Experimental Routing Protocol scenario

- The candidate needs to:
 - To understand the limitations
 - To identify the key to success: <u>Fewest number of changes</u>
 - To articulate reasons
 - Perhaps expose various hidden layers in their design (the IPv6 experience, phases, other options etc.)
 - Maybe they can challenge the interviewer's imitations by going after BGP and optimizing it.





Case I: Explain the BGP decision process
Intention: Whether the candidate is aware of the steps in the right order.



- Case I: Explain the BGP decision process
 Major issues:
 - More of a memory test
 - No room for creativity or leveling (i.e. it's flat)



- Case 2: Which one is well known, optional, mandatory, discretionary etc.?
 - Major issues:
 - More of a memory test
 - No room for creativity or leveling (i.e. it's flat)

BGP – THE EGB DEVELOPMENT CASE

With limited time and resources you are developing an open source experimental version of BGP.
What BGP attributes would you develop in the first phase? And why?

BGP - THE EGB DEVELOPMENT CASE

Experimental EGP

- The candidate needs to:
 - Understand the concept of well-known and mandatory attributes
 - Know what attributes are well-known and mandatory
 - Understand BGP beyond simple lab setups
 - Understand the risks and be able to articulate an example





- Case 3: How do you influence inbound (or outbound) traffic:
 - Intention: Whether the candidate can apply the BGP attributes to a semi-real world scenario.



- Case 3: How do you influence inbound (or outbound) traffic.
 - Major issues:
 - Very classic answers that can be memorized in minutes
 - No room for creativity or leveling (i.e. it's flat)

BGP-THE MED/AS-PATH SCENARIO

 In order to influence inbound Internet traffic into the network a network engineer is proposing use of the MED.

What is the point she is missing?

BGP

• The MED/AS-PATH scenario

- The candidate needs to:
 - To understand limitations and definition of success
 - Know how the MED works; a lot of details to understand
 - Know why the transitive and non-transitive attributes exist
 - Know why the well-known mandatory attributes exist
 - Understand BGP beyond simple lab setups.
 - Understand the risks and be able to articulate an example



• The MED/AS-PATH scenario

- Also leaves room for further layers and thinking outside the box:
 - What if due to lack of expertise we prefer not to mess with BGP attributes?
 - Advertise more specific prefixes
 - Do not advertise

